

Robbins Schwartz

Training for Waubonsee Community College Title IX Personnel

October 21st, 2020

Kevin P. Noll

knoll@robbins-schwartz.com

Emily P. Bothfeld

ebothfeld@robbins-schwartz.com

Chicago

55 West Monroe Street, Suite 800
Chicago, IL 60603
p 312.332.7760
f 312.332.7768

Champaign-Urbana

301 North Neil Street, Suite 400
Champaign, IL 61820
p 217.363.3040
f 217.356.3548

Collinsville

510 Regency Centre
Collinsville, IL 62234
p 618.343.3540
f 618.343.3546

Bolingbrook

631 East Boughton Road, Suite 200
Bolingbrook, IL 60440
p 630.929.3639
f 630.783.3231

Rockford

2990 North Perryville Road, Suite 4144B
Rockford, IL 61107
p 815.390.7090

The materials on this webpage are the property of Robbins Schwartz and may not be copied, adapted, shared, or displayed without the express written permission of Robbins Schwartz.

Training for Waubensee Community College Title IX Personnel

Presented By: Emily P. Bothfeld and Kevin P. Noll

October 21, 2020

Robbins Schwartz

1

Background on New Title IX Regulations



Robbins Schwartz

2

Background

- September 22, 2017: U.S. Department of Education released a Dear Colleague Letter formally withdrawing two key Obama-era guidance documents:
 - 2011 Dear Colleague Letter on Sexual Violence
 - 2014 Q&A on Title IX and Sexual Violence

Robbins Schwartz

3

Status

- Proposed Title IX Regulations released in November 2018
 - 60-day public comment period yielded over 120,000 comments
- Proposed Final Rules released on May 6, 2020 and published in Federal Register on May 19, 2020
- Effective date: August 14, 2020



Robbins Schwartz

4

Impact on Higher Education Institutions

- The new Regulations require:
 - Revisions to institutional policies and procedures
 - Staffing determinations
 - Training for all personnel involved in an institution's investigation and grievance process, including:
 - Title IX Coordinator and Deputy Coordinators
 - Investigators
 - Hearing Officers
 - Appeal Officer
 - Informal Resolution Facilitators
 - Publishing of information and training materials on the institution's website

Robbins Schwartz

5

Overview of Relevant Laws



Robbins Schwartz

6

Relevant Laws

- The relevant requirements of the below laws have been incorporated into the College's proposed Sex-Based Misconduct Policy and Procedures:
 - Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 ("Title IX")
 - Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII")
 - Preventing Sexual Violence in Higher Education Act ("PSVHEA")
 - Illinois Human Rights Act ("IHRA")
 - Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act ("Clery Act")
 - Violence Against Women Act ("VAWA")

Robbins Schwartz

7

Relevant Laws

- **Title IX:** Prohibits sex-based discrimination, including sexual harassment, in educational programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance.
- **Title VII:** Prohibits discrimination, including discrimination based on sex, in employment.

Robbins Schwartz

8

Relevant Laws

- **Preventing Sexual Violence in Higher Education Act:** Requires Illinois higher education institutions to adopt comprehensive policies concerning sexual violence, domestic violence, dating violence and stalking.
- **Illinois Human Rights Act:**
 - Prohibits discrimination in Illinois, including in employment.
 - Also prohibits sexual harassment in elementary, secondary and higher education.



Robbins Schwartz

9


Relevant Laws

- **Clery Act:** Requires institutions to maintain and disclose crime statistics and security information.
- **Violence Against Women Act:** Expands the rights afforded to campus survivors of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking.

Robbins Schwartz

10

Key Definitions



Robbins Schwartz

11

Title IX Sexual Harassment

Sexual harassment includes:

1. Quid pro quo harassment by a college employee
2. Unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would find so severe, pervasive and objectively offensive that it denies a person equal educational access
3. Any instance of sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence or stalking (as defined in Clery Act/VAWA)

Robbins Schwartz

12

Title IX Sexual Harassment: Quid Pro Quo

- When an employee of the college conditions aid, benefits, pay, a position or other opportunities for advancement on an individual's submission to unwelcome sexual conduct.

- Example: Professor Jones promises his student, Jane, that he will give her an A on her midterm if she engages in sexual conduct in his office after class.

Robbins Schwartz

13

Title IX Sexual Harassment: "Hostile Environment"

- Unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would find so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it denies a person equal educational access

- Severe and pervasive and offensive
- Denial of equal educational access


Robbins Schwartz

14

Title IX Sexual Harassment:
“Hostile Environment”

- Compare with Title VII definition for workplace hostile environment claims:

- Unwelcome sexual advances and other conduct of a sexual nature having the purpose or effect of interfering with an individual’s work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive working environment.



Robbins Schwartz

15

Title IX Sexual Harassment:
“Hostile Environment”

- Compare with IHRA definition:

- Any conduct of a sexual nature exhibited by an education representative toward a student, when such conduct has the purpose of substantially interfering with the student's educational performance or creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive educational environment.

Robbins Schwartz

16

Title IX Sexual Harassment: Other Categories

• Title IX's definition of sexual harassment also includes:

- Sexual Assault
- Dating Violence
- Domestic Violence
- Stalking

As defined under Clery Act/VAWA

Robbins Schwartz

17

Sexual Assault, Dating Violence, & Stalking

Sexual Assault:

- An offense that meets the definition of rape, fondling, incest, or statutory rape as used in the FBI's Uniform Crime Reporting program.

Dating Violence

- Violence committed by a person who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the victim.

Stalking

- Engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to –
 - Fear for the person's safety or the safety of others; or
 - Suffer substantial emotional distress.

Robbins Schwartz

18

Domestic Violence

Domestic Violence

A felony or misdemeanor crime of violence committed:

- By a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the victim;
- By a person with whom the victim shares a child in common;
- By a person who is cohabitating with, or has cohabitated with, the victim as a spouse or intimate partner;
- By a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim under the domestic or family violence laws of the jurisdiction in which the crime of violence occurred; or
- By any other person against an adult or youth victim who is protected.

Robbins Schwartz

19

Preventing Sexual Violence in Higher Education Act: Sexual Violence

• Physical sexual acts attempted or perpetrated against a person's will or when a person is incapable of giving consent, including without limitation:

- Rape;
- Sexual assault;
- Sexual battery;
- Sexual abuse; and
- Sexual coercion.

Robbins Schwartz

20

Preventing Sexual Violence in Higher Education Act: Sexual Violence and Consent

- The PSVHEA requires that institutions adopt a policy which includes a definition of consent consistent with the Act, 110 ILCS 155/10.
- **Consent:**
 - Must be freely given
 - May not be inferred from lack of verbal or physical resistance, from submission resulting from the use of threat or force, from a person's manner of dress, from a person's consent to past sexual activity, or from a person's consent to engage in sexual activity with another person
 - May be withdrawn at any time
 - Cannot be given by a person who is unable to understand the nature of the activity or give knowing consent due to circumstances (i.e. incapacitation due to alcohol or drugs, age, incapacitation due to mental disability)

Robbins Schwartz

21

Key Definitions: Hypothetical

- You receive a report of a student being sexually assaulted by another student on campus.
- Do you need to evaluate the severity, pervasiveness, and offensiveness of the sexual assault?

Robbins Schwartz

22

Key
Definitions:
Hypothetical

- You have been assigned to investigate a formal complaint alleging that a student was sexually harassed by his sociology instructor.
- What types of evidence/information would be relevant to determining whether the alleged harassment was severe, pervasive and objectively offensive?

Robbins Schwartz

23

Jurisdiction



Robbins Schwartz

24

Jurisdiction Under Title IX

- Institutions must respond when sexual harassment occurs **“in the institution’s education program or activity, against a person in the United States.”**

Robbins Schwartz

25

Title IX Jurisdiction: Scope of College’s Education Program or Activity

- Includes:
 - Locations, events, or circumstances over which the institution exercised substantial control over both the respondent and the context in which the sexual harassment occurred; and
 - Any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by a postsecondary institution.

Robbins Schwartz

26

**Title IX
Jurisdiction:
Scope of
College's
Education
Program or
Activity**

- Waubensee Community College examples for discussion:
 - WCC's Total Fitness Center?
 - Local hospital where students are participating in clinical rotations?
 - Faculty member's home?
 - Social media?



Robbins Schwartz

27

**Jurisdiction:
"... in the
United States"**

- To fall under Title IX, the alleged misconduct must have occurred in the United States.
- If not, look to other applicable laws (e.g., PSVHEA)
- Example: sexual assault that occurs during study abroad program?

Robbins Schwartz

28

Jurisdiction: Hypothetical

- Compare:
 - A student athlete allegedly sexually assaults another student athlete while off-campus at a sporting event with their team and coach
 - A student athlete allegedly sexually assaults another student athlete while at a friend's off-campus apartment
- Which type of alleged misconduct falls under Title IX?
- What are the College's response obligations with regard to each type of alleged misconduct?

Robbins Schwartz

29

Policy 3.170.01 Harassment, Sexual Harassment, Discrimination, and Sexual Misconduct



Robbins Schwartz

30

Policy 3.170.01

- Prohibits harassment, sexual harassment, discrimination and sexual misconduct, including but not limited to:
 - Hostile environment harassment;
 - Quid pro quo harassment; and
 - Violent sexual misconduct
 - Rape
 - Sexual assault
 - Sexual abuse
 - Domestic violence
 - Dating violence
 - Stalking

Robbins Schwartz

31

Policy 3.170.01

- Applies to:
 - Students
 - Employees & independent contractors
 - Volunteers
 - Visitors
 - Board members

Robbins Schwartz

32


Policy 3.170.01

- Prohibits retaliation against any person reporting alleged harassment, sexual harassment, discrimination, or sexual misconduct, or participating in an investigation
- References and incorporates the Procedures related to Policy 3.170.01

Robbins Schwartz

33

Procedures Implementing Policy 3.170.01



Robbins Schwartz

34

Purpose of Procedures

- Implement Policy 3.170.01.
- Ensure a safe and healthy educational and employment environment.
- Meet relevant legal requirements.

Robbins Schwartz

35

Jurisdiction

- Procedures apply to alleged harassment, sexual harassment, discrimination, and sexual misconduct whenever the alleged misconduct occurs:
 - On campus; or
 - Off campus property if:
 - The conduct was in connection with a College or College-recognized program or activity; or
 - The conduct may have the effect of creating a hostile environment for a member of the College community.
- Broader than Title IX jurisdiction

Robbins Schwartz

36

Role of Title IX Coordinator

- Coordinate College's compliance with Title IX and related laws.
- Oversee College's response to all reports and complaints alleging Title IX issues.
- Analyze reports to determine appropriate method for processing and reviewing.
- Oversee grievance process for formal Title IX/PSVHEA complaints.
- Coordinate the provision of supportive measures and implementation of remedies.
- Ensure adherence to policies/procedures.
- Ensure appropriate training is provided to students, faculty and staff.

Robbins Schwartz

37

Options for Assistance Following Incident of Sex-Based Discrimination, Harassment, or Misconduct

- On-and off-campus advocates
 - College counselors (students only)
 - Confidential Advisor at Mutual Ground of Aurora
- Other resources
 - Illinois Domestic Violence Helpline
 - National Hotline for Crime Victims
 - National Sexual Assault Hotline
 - Student Talk Line (for students)
 - Employee Assistance Program (for employees)
 - Illinois Department of Human Rights sexual harassment and discrimination helpline

Robbins Schwartz

38

Reporting Alleged Sex-Based Discrimination, Harassment, or Misconduct



Robbins Schwartz

39

Reporting Generally

- Who can report?
 - Anyone – including students, employees and community members
 - Need not be the person who is alleged to be the victim of the misconduct
- To whom should reports be made?
 - Title IX Coordinator or Deputy Coordinators
 - College administrator
 - Any responsible employee (students only)

Robbins Schwartz

40

Student Reporting

- Reporting to Responsible Employees
 - The College's REs include:
 - Title IX Coordinator and Deputy Coordinators
 - College Administrators
 - Supervisors and managerial staff
 - Faculty
 - Campus Police
 - Coaches
 - Advisors of student clubs/organizations
 - REs must report all relevant details to the Title IX Coordinator, if known.

Robbins Schwartz

41

Student Reporting

- Confidential Reporting
 - The College's designated Confidential Advisors include:
 - Mutual Ground of Aurora's Emergency Response Coordinator
 - Confidential Advisors are not required to report any information about an alleged incident to the Title IX Coordinator without the student's permission.

Robbins Schwartz

CONFIDENTIAL

42

Student Reporting

- Anonymous and/or Electronic Reporting
 - Students may report anonymously online through *Maxient*.
 - Before the student enters information, the system will notify the student that entering personally identifiable information may serve as notice to the College for purposes of triggering an investigation.

Robbins Schwartz

43

Employee Reporting

- Employees should report to:
 - Title IX Coordinator;
 - Executive Director of Human Resources; or
 - Employee Relations Manager

Robbins Schwartz

44

Questions?



Robbins Schwartz

45

College Response
to Reports of
Alleged Sex-Based
Discrimination,
Harassment, or
other Misconduct



Robbins Schwartz

46

“Deliberate
Indifference
Standard”
Under Title IX

- College must respond to allegations of Title IX sexual harassment:
 - Promptly
 - In a manner that is not “clearly unreasonable in light of the known circumstances”

Robbins Schwartz

47

College
Response:
Definitions

Complainant:

- Individual who is alleged to be the victim of alleged sex-based misconduct

Respondent:

- Individual who is reported to be the perpetrator of alleged sex-based misconduct

Robbins Schwartz

48

Overview of College Response Process

1. Analyze report to determine the appropriate method for processing/reviewing it.
2. For any report alleging Title IX sexual harassment and/or alleging sexual violence, domestic violence, dating violence or stalking pursuant to the PSVHEA, promptly contact the complainant.
3. Discuss and offer supportive measures.
4. Explain the process for filing a formal complaint.



Robbins Schwartz

49


College Response Process

- Step 1: Analyze the Report.
 - Does Title IX apply?
 - Does the Preventing Sexual Violence in Higher Education Act apply?

Robbins Schwartz

50


Title IX: Jurisdiction Analysis



Robbins Schwartz

51

Preventing Sexual Violence in Higher Education Act: Jurisdiction Analysis



Robbins Schwartz

52

College Response Process

- Step 2: Contact the complainant.
 - “Complainant” is defined as the individual who is alleged to be the victim of alleged sex-based misconduct



Robbins Schwartz

53

College Response Process

- Step 3: Discuss and offer supportive measures.
 - Individualized services reasonably available that are non-punitive, non-disciplinary, and not unreasonably burdensome to the other party
 - Designed to ensure equal educational access, protect safety, or deter sexual harassment

Robbins Schwartz

54

College Response Process

- Step 4: Explain to the complainant the process for filing a formal complaint.

Robbins Schwartz

55

Grievance Process for Formal Complaints



Robbins Schwartz

56

Grievance Process for Formal Complaints

Formal complaint:

- Document filed by a complainant or signed by Title IX Coordinator alleging
 - (a) sexual harassment in violation of Title IX and/or
 - (b) sexual violence, domestic violence, dating violence or stalking in violation of the PSVHEA.
- At the time of filing a formal complaint, the complainant must be participating in or attempting to participate in the College's education programs or activities, (either as a student or an employee).

Robbins Schwartz

57

Formal Complaint Hypothetical

A student graduates in June. In mid-July, the student contacts the Title IX Coordinator to report that she was sexually harassed by another student the previous February. The student indicates that she would like to file a formal complaint.

- Can the former student file a formal complaint?
- What if the student is working as a research assistant for the summer?
- In the event the complainant cannot file a formal complaint, what options does the College have?

Robbins Schwartz

58

Grievance Process: Notice of Allegations

- Must be sent **simultaneously** to both parties after Title IX Coordinator's receipt of formal complaint.
- Informs the parties of:
 - The grievance process, including informal resolution options
 - The allegations
 - The presumption of non-responsibility on the part of the respondent
 - The parties' right to an advisor
 - The parties' right to inspect and review evidence
 - The Code of Conduct provisions prohibiting knowingly furnishing false information during the grievance process.

Robbins Schwartz

59

Grievance Process: Emergency Removals and Administrative Leave

- Prior to initiating or completing the grievance process in response to a formal complaint, the College may remove a respondent from its education program or activity on an emergency basis.
 - Only permitted where the College has determined, based on an individualized safety and risk analysis, that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of an individual arising from the allegations of sexual harassment justifies removal.
- College must provide the respondent with notice and an opportunity to challenge the decision immediately following the removal.

Robbins Schwartz

60

Grievance Process: Emergency Removals and Administrative Leave

- The College may place an employee on administrative leave during the pendency of the grievance process in response to a formal complaint.

Robbins Schwartz

61

Informal Resolution



Robbins Schwartz

62

Informal Resolution

- Fully discretionary: Requires complainant and respondent's **voluntary, written consent**
- May occur at any time after the parties receive the initial notice of allegations and prior to a determination regarding responsibility being reached.
- May not be required as condition of:
 - Enrollment/continuing enrollment,
 - Employment or continuing employment,
 - Enjoyment of any right, or
 - Waiver of the right to a formal investigation/adjudication

Robbins Schwartz

63

Informal Resolution

- Party may withdraw at any time prior to a resolution
 - Withdrawal triggers resumption of grievance process.
- May include:
 - Mediation
 - Restorative justice
- Not permitted in allegations of employee sexual misconduct toward a student

Robbins Schwartz

64

Consolidation and Dismissal of Formal Complaints



Robbins Schwartz

65

Consolidation of Formal Complaints

- Title IX Coordinator may consolidate formal complaints where the allegations of sex-based misconduct arise out of the same facts or circumstances.

Robbins Schwartz

66

Dismissal of Formal Complaints

Mandatory dismissal:

- Title IX Coordinator or designated investigator determines that conduct alleged in the formal complaint does not meet
 - (a) Title IX's definition of sexual harassment and/or
 - (b) Title IX's jurisdictional requirements.
- *Dismissal does not preclude action altogether – just for purposes of Title IX.*

Robbins Schwartz

67

Dismissal of Formal Complaints

Discretionary dismissal if:

- Complainant gives written notification of desire to withdraw formal complaint or certain allegations;
- Respondent is no longer enrolled in or employed by the College; or
- Specific circumstances prevent the College from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination.
- A party may appeal a decision to dismiss a formal complaint or allegations therein.

Robbins Schwartz

68

Investigation of Formal Complaints



Robbins Schwartz

69

Investigations: **Written Notice**

- Written notice required to both parties:
 - Allegations (upon receipt of a formal complaint)
 - Investigative interviews, meetings, or hearings
 - Mandatory or discretionary dismissal

Robbins Schwartz

70

Investigations: Evidence

- Equal opportunity for parties to provide:
 - Fact witnesses
 - Expert witnesses
 - Inculpatory evidence
 - Exculpatory evidence
- No gag orders

Robbins Schwartz

71

Investigations: Advisors

- Both parties may select an advisor of their choosing
 - May, but need not be, an attorney
- Advisor's role is to provide support, guidance, advice
- May not speak on behalf of the party



Robbins Schwartz

72

Investigation:
**Access to
Evidence**

- Both parties must have equal access to inspect and review all evidence that is directly related to the complaint allegations.
 - Be mindful of FERPA and student privacy considerations.
 - Consider whether redactions are necessary
 - Notify parties of parameters/limitations on re-disclosure of records and evidence.

Robbins Schwartz

73

Investigation:
**Access to
Evidence**

- At the conclusion of the investigation and prior to the completion of the investigator's report, the investigator must send both parties a copy of all relevant evidence.
- The parties will have 10 business days to submit a written response to the evidence, which the investigator must consider prior to completion of his/her investigative report.

Robbins Schwartz

74

Investigation: Preparation of Investigation Report

- After receiving/reviewing the parties written responses, the investigator must create an investigative report that fairly summarizes the relevant evidence, which the investigator will forward to the Title IX Coordinator.
- Upon receipt of the investigator's report, the Title IX Coordinator will schedule a hearing.



Robbins Schwartz

75

Investigation: Preparation of Investigation Report

- At least 10 business days prior to the hearing, the Title IX Coordinator will:
 - Provide both parties with written notice of the hearing date, time, location, participants and purpose of the hearing; and
 - Send to each party (and advisors) the investigative report.
 - Allow parties 10 business days to submit a written response to the report.

Robbins Schwartz

76

Live Hearings



Robbins Schwartz

77

Live Hearings: Process

- Conducted by designated Hearing Officer
 - Assigned by Title IX Coordinator
- A party may request a substitution if the participation of the Hearing Officer poses a conflict of interest.
 - Must contact the Title IX Coordinator within three (3) business days after the party's receipt of the hearing notice to make such a request.

Robbins Schwartz

78

Live Hearings: Process

- Upon request, parties can be separated – requires appropriate technology
 - Must be requested at least **3 days prior to hearing**
 - Must allow parties to simultaneously see and hear each other



Robbins Schwartz

79

Live Hearings: Technology

- Technology considerations:
 - Parties must be able to hear and see each other.
 - Parties and Hearing Officer must be able to view evidence being presented.
 - Consider screen-sharing and/or sending documents electronically in advance.
 - Parties should have ability to communicate with their respective advisors in private.
 - Consider “breakout rooms.”

Robbins Schwartz

80

Live Hearings: Advisors

- College advisor appointed if none available
 - 3 business day notice is required.

Robbins Schwartz

81

Issues for Hearing

- Hearing Officer's role is to determine:
 - Whether facts presented establish that the alleged conduct occurred;
 - Whether that conduct constitutes Title IX sexual harassment, sexual violence, domestic violence, dating violence or stalking; and
 - If the answers to the above are "yes," which sanctions (if any) and remedies are appropriate.
- The evidence presented must be **relevant** to one or more of the issues above.

Robbins Schwartz

82

Considering Evidence

- Relevant documents may include, but are not limited to:
 - The formal complaint
 - The initial written notice of the allegations
 - Written statement(s) and responses by the parties and/or witnesses
 - The investigation report
 - Police reports, photographs and/or video footage (if any)
 - Prior discipline records
 - Only relevant to issue of appropriate sanction



Robbins Schwartz

83

Preponderance of the Evidence Standard

- “More likely than not”
- Whether the facts supporting the allegations have greater weight/strength than the facts presented in denial of the allegations
- If 50/50, no violation.

Robbins Schwartz

84

Live Hearings: Cross- Examination

- Advisors are permitted to ask opposing party and witnesses all **relevant** questions and follow-up questions.
 - Relevance determined by Hearing Officer.

Robbins Schwartz

85

Live Hearings: Rape Shield Protections

- Questions about sexual pre-disposition or prior sexual behavior are not permitted unless:
 - Offered to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the alleged conduct; or
 - Questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the respondent and are offered to prove consent.

Robbins Schwartz

86

Post-Hearing Procedure



Robbins Schwartz

87

Written Determination

- Issued to both parties simultaneously within 7 business days of decision being reached.

Robbins Schwartz

88

Written Determination

Must include:


- Identification of allegations
- Description of procedural steps taken
- Findings of fact supporting determination
- Conclusions regarding application of conduct standards
- Statement & rationale for result of each allegation, including:
 - Determination of responsibility
 - Disciplinary sanctions being imposed
 - Whether any remedies will be provided to the complainant
- Procedures and permissible bases for complainant and respondent to appeal

Robbins Schwartz

89

Appeals

APPEALS



Robbins Schwartz

90

Appeals

- Both parties have right to appeal any determination regarding:
 - Responsibility
 - Dismissal of any formal complaint or allegations therein
- Party must submit written appeal request to Title IX Coordinator
 - Within 7 business days of receipt of written determination or dismissal
- Title IX Coordinator must forward request to the Appeal Officer

Robbins Schwartz

91

Appeals: Grounds to Appeal

- a) Procedural irregularity occurred
- b) New evidence or information exists that could affect outcome
- c) Conflict of interest or bias which affected outcome, amongst:
 - Title IX Coordinator, Investigator, or Hearing Officer
- d) Sanction disproportionate to violation

Robbins Schwartz

92

Appeals: Process

- Appeal Officer will afford both parties the opportunity to submit a statement
- Written decision issued to both parties simultaneously within 7 business days of conclusion of the review
 - Describes outcome and rationale
- Includes statement that **decision is final**

Robbins Schwartz

93

Conflicts of Interest & Bias



Robbins Schwartz

94

Conflict of Interest & Bias

Conflict of interest:

- “A situation in which the concerns or aims of two different parties or people are incompatible.”
 - Not: “I know both parties.”

Bias:

- “Prejudice in favor of or against one thing, person, or group compared with another, usually in a way considered to be unfair.”

Robbins Schwartz

95

How to Address Implicit Bias

- Check your implicit bias here: [Harvard Implicit Bias Test](#)
- More deliberate or conscious thinking
 - Sometimes called “Staring” (as opposed to “Blinking”)
 - This involves allowing yourself time to fully think through a scenario before coming to a decision/conclusion

Robbins Schwartz

96

How to Address Bias: Create a Checklist

- Create a checklist beforehand
 - For ex: A pre-made checklist of allegations to be proven/disproven
- Decision-making checklists can encourage less biased decisions; they provide an objective framework to assess your thinking¹
- Can be effective in overcoming stereotypes²
- Helps reduce the attention given to biased characteristics that may influence decision-making³

1. Arkes, Hal R. Arkes & Victoria A. Shaffer, Should We Use Decision Aids or Gut Feelings? in G. GIGERENZER & C. ENGEL, EDS., HEURISTICS AND THE LAW (2004).
2. Isaac, Carol Isaac, Barbara Lee & Molly Carnes, Interventions That Reduce Bias in Clinical Decision Making: A Systematic Review, 84 Academic Medicine 1440 (2009).
3. Uhlmann, Eric Luis Uhlmann & Geoffrey L. Cohen, Constructed Criteria: Reducing Stereotype-Induced Discrimination, 16 PSYCHOL. SCI. 474 (2005).
All materials compiled by the ABA Implicit Bias Toolkit, which can be found here: <https://www.americanbar.org/groups/diversity/resources/implicit-bias/>

97

Questions?



Robbins Schwartz

98


Final Review and Hypothetical



Robbins Schwartz

99


Final Review of Grievance Process (Pre-Hearing)



Robbins Schwartz

100

Final Review of Grievance Process (Hearing + Appeals)



Robbins Schwartz

101

Hypothetical

- Jen, a student, reports to her College advisor that a classmate, Steve, has been making inappropriate sexual jokes and innuendos.
 - She and Steve both attended the same virtual summer school course.
 - They were assigned to work on a project together, much of which was to be done on their own time, over Zoom, and required the exchange of personal contact information.
 - After the project was complete, Steve allegedly called Jen and asked her out. Jen said no.
 - Jen states that Steve began teasing her on social media and telling all of their mutual friends that Jen "is a prude."

Robbins Schwartz

102

Hypothetical

- The teasing became increasingly more offensive, and he allegedly began making false statements that:
 - Jen is homosexual,
 - She is afraid to date because she is a hermaphrodite, and
 - That Jen sent him sexually explicit photos.
- Jen alleges that Steve's comments took place over the course of the summer, at various off-campus social distanced class gatherings where the instructor and other students were present.
- Most recently, Steve and Jen both attended the same party at a house owned by the College's Theater Club, of which Jen is a member.
- At the party, Steve (who is 21) was drinking. He tried to pressure Jen (who is 19) into drinking as well. Jen reports that Steve stated, "Some alcohol will allow you to drop your guard, and hopefully, allow me to drop your pants."

Robbins Schwartz

103

Hypothetical

- Jen reports that she told Steve he was not funny, and to leave her alone.
 - One of Jen's friends allegedly witnessed Steve trying to pour some of his vodka into Jen's cup when she wasn't looking.
 - According to Jen's friend, when Steve was caught, he laughed and told her to "Stop cock-blocking me."
- Jen is distraught. Steve is enrolled in two of the same classes as Jen for the fall 2020 semester. Jen is considering dropping the classes so she will not have to interact with Steve.
- The College advisor documents her discussion with Jen. What should the College advisor do with these allegations? Discuss.



Robbins Schwartz

104

Hypothetical

- Based on these facts, analyze the jurisdiction under Title IX.
- Reminder:
 - Steve's behavior began after the completion of their virtual project. He teased her via social media.
 - Steve's behavior continued at off-campus events where the instructor and other students were present.
 - Steve's most recent actions were at a house owned by a College-recognized student organization during the first weekend of school.
- Do Steve's actions constitute Title IX sexual harassment?
- Reminder: Three types of sexual harassment:
 - **Quid pro quo** harassment by a college employee
 - Unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would find **so severe, pervasive and objectively offensive** that it **denies a person equal educational access**
 - Any instance of **sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence or stalking**

Robbins Schwartz

105

Hypothetical

- Jen files a formal complaint. The parties proceed to a live hearing.
 - Can Steve's advisor ask Jen if she has ever had a sexual relationship with Steve?
- After a full grievance process, the College's appointed Hearing Officer (who is close friends with the College advisor to whom Jen made her initial report) finds that Steve is responsible for engaging in Title IX sexual harassment.
 - After receiving the Hearing Officer's determination, Steve feels that the Hearing Officer had a conflict of interest that affected the outcome of the grievance process. He submits an appeal request to the Title IX Coordinator.
- What steps does the Title IX Coordinator need to take with respect to the appeal?

Robbins Schwartz

106



Robbins Schwartz

KEVIN P. NOLL

ASSOCIATE, CHICAGO

312.332.7760

knoll@robbins-schwartz.com

Kevin's practice focuses in the area of labor and employment law. Kevin routinely counsels employers in all aspects of employment law including employee discipline, labor relations, and federal and state employment discrimination matters under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Family and Medical Leave Act, Age Discrimination in Employment Act, Illinois Human Rights Act, Title VII and other federal and state anti-discrimination and wage laws. Kevin represents employers in a variety of venues including both federal and state court, as well as the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the Illinois Department of Human Rights.

Prior to joining Robbins Schwartz, Kevin represented individuals with employment matters, civil rights claims, and consumer protection litigation.

AWARDS

Illinois "Rising Star," by Super Lawyers Magazine (2017-2020)

RECENT PUBLICATIONS

"NLRB Takes New Look at Charter Schools," *Chicago Daily Law Bulletin* (2019)

RECENT PRESENTATIONS

Updates from the DOL: New Developments for FMLA, FLSA, and IWPCA, IAPD/IPRA Soaring to New Heights Conference (January, 2020)

Is it ADA, FMLA, or Other Leave? Navigating the Murky Waters of Employee Leave Benefits, IAPD/IPRA Soaring to New Heights Conference (January, 2020)

Illinois Minimum Wage: Nutz and Bolts Overview, IGFOA Payroll Seminar (October 2019)



PRACTICE AREAS

Labor & Employment

EDUCATION

J.D., The John Marshall Law School

B.A., Indiana University

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE

U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois

Supreme Court of Illinois

ORGANIZATIONS

Chicago Bar Association

Illinois State Bar Association

Kane County Bar Association

Robbins Schwartz

EMILY P. BOTHFELD

ASSOCIATE, CHICAGO

312.332.7760

ebothfeld@robbins-schwartz.com

Emily practices in the area of education law with a focus on student and higher education matters. She counsels school districts and higher education institutions on a variety of issues, including matters related to student discipline, Title IX, free speech, student disability rights, student data privacy and policy development. She has extensive experience representing educational institutions in responding to complaints filed with the U.S. Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights, Illinois State Board of Education, Office of the Illinois Attorney General and Illinois Department of Human Rights. Emily regularly represents school districts and higher education institutions in state and federal court on civil rights and constitutional claims and breach of contract claims.

Prior to joining Robbins Schwartz, Emily represented students with disabilities in special education matters. Emily attended the George Washington University Law School, where she was a member of the George Washington International Law Review and the GW Law Moot Court Board. Prior to attending law school, Emily taught high school mathematics and science in Hangzhou, China.

RECENT PUBLICATIONS

"Disabled Athlete Can't Support ADA Claims," *Chicago Daily Law Bulletin* (2018)

RECENT PRESENTATIONS

Legislative Update: A Review of New (and Proposed) Laws Affecting Illinois Community Colleges' Risk Management Practices, Illinois Community College Chief Financial Officers Fall Conference (October 2019)

A Student's "Right" to a College Education: Due Process Rights in Academic and Non-Academic Discipline, Illinois Community College Chief Student Services Officers' Summer Meeting (June 2019)

Updates and Recent Developments out of the U.S. Department of Education, Chicago Bar Association Education Law Committee Spring Seminar (March 2019)

Legal Hot Topics for Nursing Program Administrators and Faculty, Illinois Organization of Associate Degree Nursing (March 2019)



PRACTICE AREAS

Education Law
Special Education
Student Discipline

EDUCATION

J.D., *with honors*, George Washington University Law School

B.S., *cum laude*, Vanderbilt University

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit

U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois

Supreme Court of Illinois

ORGANIZATIONS

Chicago Bar Association

Illinois Council of School Attorneys

National Council of School Attorneys